

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/636,161	08/07/2003	Mohsen Sarraf	34-20	3605	
7590 12/13/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP Suite 205			NGUYEN, PHU	NGUYEN, PHUONGCHAU BA	
1300 Post Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fairfield, CT 06824			2616	2616	
			DATE MAII ED: 12/13/2000	DATE MAILED: 12/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	٠ ١ ١ ١				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/636,161	SARRAF ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Phuongchau Ba Nguyen	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for a cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>9-27</u>	1-6 response .	·				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) $1-18$ is/are pending in the application	i.	·				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-14,16-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>6 and 15</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		proved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0(.) (1) (2)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	* -	•				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 2616

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Specification, page 5, line 30, " C_{01} " should be changed to $---C_{10}---$

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Appropriate correction is required.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors

Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this

application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after

November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/636,161 Page 3

Art Unit: 2616

Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1, 2-5, 7-14, 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sinha (6,292,917).

Regarding claim 1,

Sinha (6,292,917) discloses a method of transmitting a plurality of substreams in a multi-stream digital audio broadcasting system, said method comprising the steps of:

allocating a unique frequency partition to each of said sub-streams

(102&104 L, 102&104 U-fig.2) for a plurality of consecutive time slots (figs.1-2, see also, col.2, line 55; col.3, line 22, col.3, line 60-col.4, line 7);

allocating a unique time slot to each of said plurality of sub-streams (col.3, line 22, col.3, line 60-col.4, line 7; col.5, lines 7-16); and transmitting said sub-streams to a receiver (fig.5).

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 2, Sinha further discloses wherein said sub-streams include at least two core streams (sub-streams I, figs.1-2) and at least two enhancement streams (sub-streams II, figs. 1-2).

Regarding claim 3, Sinha further discloses wherein said core sub-streams (sub-streams I, figs.1-2) have a maximum separation in the time domain (separated by rate for time domain in fig.2, col.5, lines 14-col.6, line 19).

Regarding claim 4, Sinha further discloses wherein said multi-stream digital audio broadcasting system is an all-digital IBOC (In-Band-On-Channel) system and said core sub-streams (sub-streams I, figs.1-2) have a maximum separation in the frequency domain (separated by FM signal-100, figs. 1-2; col.4, line 29-col.5, line 17 & col.6, lines 20-39).

Regarding claim 5, Sinha further discloses wherein said multi-stream digital audio broadcasting system is a hybrid IBOC (In-Band-On-Channel) system and

Art Unit: 2616

said core sub-streams are transmitted in the frequency domain in the innermost side bands (figs.1-2, sub-streams I as core/innermost sidebands).

Regarding claim 7, Sinha further discloses wherein said multi-stream digital audio broadcasting system is an all-digital IBOC (In-Band-On-Channel) system and said core sub-streams (sub-streams II) are separated by a data stream (FM signal 100, figs.1-2).

Regarding claim 8, Sinha further discloses wherein no two sub-streams (sub-streams I & II) associated with the same audio segment are transmitted in the same time slot (interleaved in time, see col.2, line 64-col.3, line 22, figs.1-2).

Regarding claim 9, Sinha further discloses wherein a unique time slot is allocated to each of said sub-streams by introducing a delay between each of said sub-streams (col.2, line 58-col.3, line 20; col.9, lines 48-60).

Page 6

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 10,

Sinha discloses a transmitter (fig.5) in a multi-stream digital audio broadcasting system, comprising:

a modulator (216) for allocating a unique frequency partition to each of two or more sub-streams for a plurality of consecutive time slots;

a delay circuit (212, 214) for allocating a unique time slot to each of said two or more sub-streams (see also col.9, lines 48-60); and

a transmitter (202) for transmitting said two or more sub-streams to a receiver (204).

Regarding claim 11, Sinha further discloses wherein said two or more substreams include at least two core streams (sub-streams I) and at least two enhancement streams (sub-streams II) (figs.1-2).

Regarding claim 12, Sinha further discloses wherein said core sub-streams (sub-streams I) have a maximum separation in the time domain (col.9, lines 48-60).

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 13, Sinha further discloses wherein said multi-stream digital audio broadcasting system is an all-digital IBOC (In-Band-On-Channel) system and said modulator provides a maximum separation of said core sub-streams (sub-streams I) in the frequency domain (figs.1-2; col.4, line 29-col.5, line 17 & col.6, lines 20-39).

Regarding claim 14, Sinha further discloses wherein said multi-stream digital audio broadcasting system is a hybrid IBOC (In-Band-On-Channel) system and said modulator allocates said core sub-streams in the frequency domain to the innermost side bands (figs.1-2, sub-streams I as core/innermost sidebands).

Regarding claim 16, Sinha further discloses wherein said multi-stream digital audio broadcasting system is an all-digital IBOC (In-Band-On-Channel) system and said core sub-streams (sub-streams I) are separated by a data stream (FM signal 100, figs.1-2).

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 17, Sinha further discloses wherein no two sub-streams associated with the same audio segment are transmitted in the same time slot (interleaved in time, col.2, line 57-col.3, line 22; col.4, lines 2-19).

Regarding claim 18, Sinha further discloses wherein a unique time slot is allocated to each of said two or more sub-streams by introducing a delay between each of said two or more sub-streams (col.2, line 58-col.3, line 20; col.9, lines 48-60).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 6 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Chen (6,347,122); Milbar (6,985,537); Sinha (6,405,338);

Mansour (6,353,637); Laneman (6,430,724); Norr (7,085,377)

Application/Control Number: 10/636,161 Page 9

Art Unit: 2616

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuongchau Ba Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-3148. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doris To can be reached on 571-272-7629. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and (571) 273-8300 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2600.

Art Unit: 2616

Page 10

Phuongchau Ba Nguyen

Examiner

Art Unit 2616

DORIS H. TO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600